

From Alexander Cherkasky
Prinz-Georg-Str. 5
40477 Duesseldorf
Germany
Tel: +49 211 482179
Email: alexcherkasky@googlemail.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 29 2011

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
U.S.A.

Complaint

Dear Director of the USPTO,

examiner Phuong Huynh issued a not inventive US Patent 7235641 (US patent for a non-inventive invention) to Micromet and this examiner Phuong Huynh together with the examiner Kim Yunsoo are involved in unlawful, illegal rejection of my US patent application US20080200652 which covers very inventive anticancer fusion proteins and which is competition to "Micromet's inventions." Rejection was illegal, because I sent complaints about Kim Yunsoo and thus my complaints must be considered at first and Yunsoo had no legal right to reject. But my complaints were not considered, Yunsoo rejected this my patent application and this illegal rejection is supported by Phuong Huynh, who states, that rejection was legal. Thus it is obviously, that Phuong Huynh and Kim Yunsoo act illegally and in interest of Micromet. These examiners obviously pursue policy of double standards. The rejection of my US20080200652 may not be accepted, because the rejection was illegal.

Sincerely,

A. Cherkasky

Alexander Cherkasky

From Alexander Cherkasky
Prinz-Georg-Str. 5
40477 Duesseeldorf
Germany
Tel: +49 211 482179
Email: alexcherkasky@googlemail.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 29 2011

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
U.S.A.

Complaint

Dear Director of the USPTO,

examiner Phuong Huynh together with the examiner Kim Yunsoo are involved in unlawful, illegal rejection of my US patent application US20080200652 which covers very inventive anticancer fusion proteins. Rejection was illegal, because I sent complaints about Kim Yunsoo and thus my complaints must be considered at first and Yunsoo had no legal right to reject. But my complaints were not considered, Yunsoo rejected this my patent application and this illegal rejection is supported by Phuong Huynh, who states, that rejection was legal. Thus it is obviously, that Phuong Huynh and Kim Yunsoo act illegally. The rejection of my US20080200652 may not be accepted, because the rejection was illegal.

Sincerely,

A. Cherkasky

Alexander Cherkasky